CHEROTORICA A FINE CAR				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL (37 CFR 1.8) Docket No.				
Applicant(s): Ralph Stank wski			MCA ⁵ 70	
0				
Serial No.	Filing Dat	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
09/660,795	09/13/2000	R bert J. Popov		
Inv ntion: PROCESS AND FILTER FOR FILTERING A SLURRY				
RECEIVED RECEIVED				
00T 0.0 0000				
	OCT 0 3 2002			
	TC 1700			
I hereby certify that this Response				
(Identify type of correspondence)				
is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: The				
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on 09/25,2002				
		10201011	09/25,2002 (Date)	
Paul J. Cook				
(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)				
Vail Cast				
(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)				

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.









Attorney Docket No.: MCA-470

10-18-02

APPLICANT: Ralph Stankowski

SERIAL NO.: 09/660,795

FILED:

09/13/2000

EXAMINER: Robert J. Popovics

GROUP ART UNIT: 1724

TITLE:

PROCESS AND FILTER FOR FILTERING A SLURRY

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RESPONSE

Responsive to the Office Action of September 11, 2002, please enter the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 5 and 6 have been rejected under 35 USC 102 over Price. The pending claims require that the cartridge is free of an open void volume which causes separation of particles from a slurry being filtered. Since the inlet to the first filter segment, 20 (Fig. 1) has the same area and configuration of the firstcontacted surface of the first filter segment, no air is entrapped between the slur and the first contacted surface of the first filter segment. This configuration contrasts with the inlets in the filtration cartridge of the cited Price'628 reference. The inlets of Price are smaller than and have a different configuration than the top surface of the filter. When utilizing such a configuration, the particles of a slurry to be filtered would separate from the slurry. If, on the other hand, Price's top surface of the filter were in contact with the outlet of the inlet, no undesirable void volume would be formed. Accordingly, this ground of rejection should be withdrawn.